

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,953	KONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Danielle Herritt

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 April 2007

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5-8, 25 & 26

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed with Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)